ConocoPhillips

Marine

An Industry in Transition: Emerging Challenges for Deepwater Oil Transportation in the Gulf of Mexico

Matthew Pritchard

14th October, 2003

SPE Presentation Outline

1. ConocoPhillips Marine – post merger

- A Global Marine Operation
- **2. Seahorse Shuttling and Technology**
 - Shuttling Value Proposition
 - October 2000 Shuttling View
 - Shuttling Issues and Solutions
 - October 2003 Shuttling View

3. ConocoPhillips Marine and Seahorse

Path Forward for Shuttling





Postmerger ConocoPhillips Marine



- >85% of all CoP crude oil supply delivered by ship
- 2 million bbls of crude delivered per day
- 16 million bbls afloat at any time.
- Crude Tankers
- Shuttle Tankers
- Gas Carriers
- Drillships
- **FPSOs**
- Towboats
- Barges





ConocoPhillips Global Marine Activities





Seahorse Shuttling and Technology





Seahorse GoMAX 550 Shuttle Tanker



Shuttling Value Proposition



Delivering Deepwater Crude Oil safely, reliably and economically throughout the Gulf of Mexico



Shuttling Value Proposition

Producer Benefits

- As an Enabler
 - Technically Stranded Reserves
 - Location: Water Depth, Seafloor Gradient, Distance from Pipelines
- As a Cost Competitor Higher Field NPV, IRR & PI
 - Value Preservation
 - Lower tariff than deepwater pipeline alternative?
 - Value Creation
 - Higher revenue from marketing flexibility
 - Location differential pricing (discharge port flexibility)
 - Crude quality premium (cargo segregation)
 - Earlier cash flow
 - Pipeline capacity availability?
 - Shorter lead time for FPSO / Shuttle than TLP / Pipeline?





Shuttling View in October 2000

- Conoco's GB783 Magnolia discovery, ~4700 ft WD
 - Development scenarios include FPSO & shuttle tankers
- BP, BHP & Unocal's GC 826 Mad Dog, ~6,500 ft WD
- Significant Conoco/Industry exploration in >5,000 ft WD
 S Green Canyon, Walker Ridge, Keathley & Alaminos Canyons
- Forecast 18 BBOE deepwater GoM discoveries from 2000-2010
- Forecast 10 FPSOs in Deepwater GoM by 2010
- Estimated 2012 GoM production peak of 2.5 MMBOPD:
 - 1.5 MMBbl via pipelines, filling existing trunklines
 - 1.0 MMBbl via ten shuttle fleet to Gulf Coast ports
- Only HOOPS oil pipeline extends to ~5,000 ft WD





Shuttling Issues - October 2000

- MMS Evaluating FPSOs and Shuttling in GoM
 FPSO Environmental Impact Statement Pending
- FPSOs
 - USCG / MMS Jurisdiction (Hulls and Topsides)
 - General Rules and Guidelines for operations
 - Manning requirements (Foreign or US Nationals)
- Jones Act Compliance for GoM Shuttle Tankers
 - US Shipyards, capability and vessel cost
 - Ship shape vs. ATB
 - US Crew availability and training





02:001000 MM01_00_03_90-00206 Fig2-1.CDR-7/24/00-GRA

FPSO & Shuttling Regulatory Progress



Figure 2-1 LIGHTERING-PROHIBITED AREAS IN THE GULF OF MEXICO

JAC Shuttle Build Solution Conoco / Samsung / Alabama Alliance



•US Owner and Operator
•Fit for Purpose Design
•Simplified Hull Form
•Modular Design for Vessel Assembly
•Proven Primary Vendor Alliance



High Efficiency Shipbuilding
ABS/USCG/ACP Approved Design
Total Material Procurement Package
Pre-commissioned Engineering modules
Personnel Exchange with Alabama



•US Shipyard •Hull Construction •Vessel Assembly

Principle Particulars - GoMax 550 Shuttle



Draft operating~38.5 ftCargo Capacity547,000 bblsService Speed13.5 knotsPropulsion Power2x6500 kwBow Thrusters3x2000 kw

Length O.A.	758 ft
Beam	131 ft
Depth	61 ft





Production Schedule and Fleet Capacity

- Alabama Shipyard capacity 2 tankers per year
- SST tanker delivery schedule and fleet capacity:

<u>Vessel #</u>	~Fleet Capacity
	(bopd)
1st vessel, Q1 2006	125,000
2nd vessel, Q3 2006	250,000
3rd vessel, Q1 2007	375,000
4th vessel, Q3 2007	500,000







US Ship Building & Operations ConocoPhillips

Shuttling Issues - October 2003

- CoP selected TLP & pipeline offtake for Magnolia Field
- Pipeline selected for SE Green Canyon discoveries
- No FPSOs proposed yet for deepwater GoM fields
 - Impact of oil storage requirement and cost to make shuttling work:
 - FSO vessel or Direct Shuttle Loading and dedicated tankers
- Lower volumes than anticipated available for shuttling
 - Few fields identified as shuttle candidates
 - Field numbers, size, peak rates and decline rates suboptimal
 - Need for guaranteed shuttle offtake
 - Low vessel utilization rates
 - \Rightarrow No benefit from "fleet economies"



⇒ Difficult to "Start-up" GoM Shuttling as a transportation business



Magnolia DVA Drivers

- Reserves distributed over multiple horizons
- Reservoir continuity thought to be an issue
- Fluid properties not conducive to a long subsea tieback
- Future rig intervention required. Therefore...

FPSO Eliminated as Primary

Development Option





Shuttling Issues – October 2003

- Reservoir conditions favor "Dry Tree" solutions
- Pipeliners much more competitive than expected
 - No additional storage component for SPARS and TLPs
 - Willing to lay to deepwater fields at tariff rates competitive with fleet shuttling rates
 - Willing to take significant risks on future tieback volumes
- Oil pipelines under construction to >6,000 ft WD
 Oil Trunkline capacity increases by >1.25MBOPD
- Cameron Highway Cross GoM pipeline
 - Connection to Cameron Highway permits capture of differential location benefits





Dry Trees with an FSO



- Full production TLP or Spar
- Export of Oil through midwater flowlines to FSO
- Gas export by pipeline
- FSO moored remote from TLP
- Offtake by shuttle tankers







Dry Trees with Direct Shuttle Loading



 Offtake by direct load shuttle tankers

- Full production TLP or Spar
- Volumes >150Mbopd
- Export of Oil via HiLoad direct to Shuttle Tankers
- Gas export by pipeline







Dry Trees with some Production Facilities on FpSO





- Mini TLP wellhead platform
- First Stage separation
- Gas Export by Pipeline
- Non stabilized crude export to FpSO by midwater flowlines
- FpSO moored close by or coupled
- Second stage separation
- Oil Storage 5-10 days
- Shuttle Tanker Offtake





Deepwater GoM Production Forecast (Q2 2002)











Key Industry & ConocoPhillips Deepwater Activity



ConocoPhillips & Seahorse Path Forward for Shuttling

- CoP still believes shuttling can be a cost effective mode of deepwater oil transportation for GoM
 - Have developed JAC shipbuilding solution & DSL capability
 - Have experience in US ship operations and access to trained US Mariners
- Consider shuttling to be an enabling tool for our own deepwater developments
 - CoP has not yet discovered commercially viable reserves in GoM deepwater that lend themselves to shuttling
- CoP not now considering shuttling as 3rd Party Business



However, will work with potential partners with applications for shuttling sooner than our own developments.

